August 2007 TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY PAGE 1

2007 DISTRICT ACCOUNTABILITY DATA TABLES - STANDARD PROCEDURES

DISTRICT NAME: GANADO District Rating: Academically Acceptable

120902 DISTRICT NUMBER:

Analysis groups used to determine ratings are marked with an 'X'.

Academically Acceptable standards are shown in parentheses.

Grade 8 science results are not included because they are not used in the 2007 accountability system. Special formats ('\*', >99%, <1%) are used to protect student confidentiality.

	OF KNOWL	EDGE AND S	KIDDS (.	IAKS) IZ	ADDE				Require	be	
I		2007			:	2006			Improven	nent	
	Number		Pct	Stu	Number		Pct	Met			
Performance	Met	Number	Met	Grp	Met	Number	Met	Min	Act		Mot
Results	Std	Taking		%	std	Taking		Size		RI	Met RI?
RESULCS	sta	Taking	sta	70	sta	Taking	sta	bize	City	KI	KI:
Reading/ELA (65%	s)										
All Students	330	355	93%	100%	342	378	90%		3		
African Amer	*	*	87%	*	*	*	85%		2		
Hispanic	142	158	90%	45%	139	163	85%		5		
White	173	180	96%	51%	190	200	95%		1		
Econ Disadv	156	176	89%	50%	141	163	87%		2		
Writing (65%)											
All Students	70	76	92%	100%	69	77	90%		2		
African Amer	*	*	80%	*	*	*	<b>9</b> 0% ★		*		
	37	40	93%	53%	31	34	91%		2		
Hispanic	*	*		*	37				4		
White	39				37	41	90%		8		
Econ Disadv	39	43	91%	57%	30	36	83%		•		
Social Studies (	65%)										
All Students	101	106	95%	100%	123	137	90%		5		
African Amer	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		*		
Hispanic	46	49	94%	46%	42	53	79%		15		
White	*	*	96%	*	78	81	96%		0		
Econ Disadv	44	48	92%	45%	39	47	83%		9		
Mathematics (45%	· )										
All Students	283	355	80%	100%	308	378	81%		-1		
African Amer	9	15	60%	4%	8	13	62%		-2		
	117	160	73%	45%	126			Yes	-2 -4	-1	No
Hispanic	155		87%			164 199	86%	165	1	-1	NO
White Econ Disadv	123	178 176	70%	50% 50%	172 126	165		Yes	-6	-1	No
									•	_	
Science (40%)											
All Students	80	111		100%		125	76%	Yes	-4	-1	No
African Amer	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		*		
Hispanic	27	44	61%	40%	25	44	57%	Yes	4	**	No
: White	52	64	81%	58%	68	78	87%		-6		
Econ Disadv	29	46	63%	41%	34	51	67%	Yes	-4	**	No
** Met the mini	mum size	requiremen	t, but	did not	meet the 70	0% floor	for Rec	ognize	d.		
STATE-DEVELOPED	ALTERNATI	VE ASSESSM	ENT II	(SDAA I	I) TABLE						
1		2005				2006	1		Requir	ed	
1-		2007			11	2006		1	Tmbrove	ment	
	# Tests		Pct	Stu	# Tests		Pct	Met			
SDAA II	Met	#	Met	Grp	Met	#	Met	Min	Act		Me
Results (50%)	ARD	Tests	ARD	%	ARD	Tests	ARD	Size	Chg	R	I RI
All Students	65	74	88%	1009	\$ 56	60	93%		-5		
EXCEPTIONS TABLE	<u> </u>										
Number Msrs	Number	Number	Floor		Msr(s) Used						
Evaluated	Allowed	Needed	Met'	?	in 2006?	Except	ions Ar	plied			

August 2007 TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY PAGE 2

2007 DISTRICT ACCOUNTABILITY DATA TABLES - STANDARD PROCEDURES

DISTRICT NAME: GANADO District Rating: Academically Acceptable

DISTRICT NUMBER: 120902

Analysis groups used to determine ratings are marked with an 'X'.

Academically Acceptable standards are shown in parentheses.

Grade 8 science results are not included because they are not used in the 2007 accountability system. Special formats ('\*', >99%, <1%) are used to protect student confidentiality.

\_\_\_\_\_

COMPLETION RATE I TABLE (Gr. 9-12) (75.0%)

	Class o		of 2006	Class		of 2005			Required Improvement -				
	# Com-	#	# in	Stu Comp Grp		# Com-	# in	Comp	Met Min	Act		Met	
	pleters	dropouts	Class	Rate	8	pleters	Class	Rate	Size	Chg	RI	RI?	
All Students	48	0	48	100.0%	100%	47	49	95.9%		4.1			
African Amer	0	0	0	_	0%	*	*	*		*			
Hispanic	18	0	18	100.0%	38%	14	15	93.3%		6.7			
White	30	0	30	100.0%	63%	32	33	97.0%		3.0			
Econ Disadv	17	0	17	100.0%	35%	10	12	83.3%		16.7			

Decreases in completion rates may be due to significant changes in the dropout definition beginning with the 2005-06 school year.

Completion data not evaluated for your accountability rating due to small numbers or no data.

ANNUAL DROPOUT RATE TABLE (Gr. 7-8) (1.0%)

|-----|

	# Dropouts	# 7-8 Graders	Dropout Rate	Stu Grp %	
All Students	0	105	0.0%	100%	
African Amer	0	5	0.0%	5%	
Hispanic	0	51	0.0%	49%	
White	0	49	0.0%	47%	
Econ Disadv	0	46	0.0%	44%	

Due to definitional changes, Annual Dropout Rate Required Improvement is not calculated in 2007.

Dropout data not evaluated for your accountability rating due to small numbers or no data.